



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,963	07/19/2001		Itshak Bergel	INTL-0603-US (P11744)	1926
21906	7590	09/10/2003			
TROP PRU	JNER & I	HU, PC	EXAMINER		
8554 KATY SUITE 100			SMITH, SHEILA B		
HOUSTON	, TX 7702	24		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2681	-
DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003				<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch



UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		1	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

5

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

			_	` (9
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
		09/908,963	BERGEL, ITSHAK	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sheila B. Smith	2681	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replination period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT b. cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			
<u> </u>	on of Claims			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
·	Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,10-13,15,16 and 22-26</u> is/are re	ejected.		
· -	Claim(s) <u>5,7-9,14,17-21</u> is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.		
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		e Examiner	
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *		
Attachment	<u>-</u>		-	
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-4,9,12,76 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komatsu (U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0046873).

Regarding claims 1-4,9,12,16; Komatsu discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Komatsu discloses a Mobile terminal for transmission diversity CDMA communication system, in addition Komatsu discloses a determining for a channel, channel prediction terms from both first channel estimation terms derived from first common pilot channel signal and second channel estimation terms derived from second common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0018-0021); and enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraphs 0022) and exhibited in figure 3.

Art Unit: 2681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6,10-13,15,22-26, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komatsu in view of (WO 00/72464).

Regarding claims 6,10,11,13, Komatsu discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Komatsu fails to specifically discloses adaptively calculating includes receiving one or more weighted values associated with one or more antennas of a plurality of antennas.

In the same field of endeavor, (WO 00/72464) discloses a transmit diversity method and system. In addition (WO 00/72464) discloses the use of receiving one or more weighted values associated with one or more antennas of a plurality of antennas (which reads on page 18 lines 6-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Komatsu by modifying a Mobile terminal for transmission diversity CDMA communication system with the use of adaptively calculating includes receiving one or more weighted values associated with one or more antennas of a plurality of antennas, as taught by (WO 00/72464) for the purpose of saving on waste of transmit power.

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 15,16, Komatsu discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) additionally Komatsu, discloses a communication interface (9); and a processor (20) communicatively coupled to the communication interface (9), channel prediction terms from both first channel estimation terms derived from first common pilot channel signal and second channel estimation terms derived from second common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0018-0021); and enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraphs 0022) and exhibited in figure 3.

Regarding claims 22-24, they disclose an apparatus corresponding to the method of claims 1-4. The apparatus is inherent in that it simply provides structure for the logical implementation found in claims 1-4.

Regarding claims 25,26, Komatsu discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Komatsu fails to specifically discloses provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas.

In the same field of endeavor, (WO 00/72464) discloses a transmit diversity method and system. In addition (WO 00/72464) discloses the use of provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas (which reads on page 19 lines 6-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Komatsu by modifying a Mobile terminal for transmission diversity CDMA communication system with the use of adaptively calculating includes

Art Unit: 2681

receiving one or more weighted values associated with provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas, as taught by (WO 00/72464) for the purpose of saving on waste of transmit power.

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 5,7-8,14,17-21, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 27-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants arguments regarding claim 1, that Komatsu fails to teach determining channel prediction terms from different channel estimation terms derived corresponding common pilot channel signals, the examiner contends that the art of record does teach determining channel prediction terms [which reads on 0027] a downward link estimation unit for estimating the downward channel on the basis of the received downward signal; different channel estimation terms derived corresponding common pilot channel signals [which reads on 0028] an upward link estimation unit for estimating the upward channel on the basis of the received downward signal from different channel estimation terms derived corresponding common pilot channel signals the base station of a communication link. The examiner further contends that the upward link and the downward link signals reads on a common pilot channel signals. The

Art Unit: 2681

examiner contends that the art of record more than adequetly meets the limitations of this claim, the examiner refers the applicant back to the previous rejection.

Applicants arguments regarding claim 15 that Komatsu fails to teach, discloses a communication interface (9); and a processor (20)(which reads on the demodulator that processes the signal to demodulate it) communicatively coupled to the communication interface (9), channel prediction terms from both first channel estimation terms derived from first common pilot channel signal and second channel estimation terms derived from second common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0018-0021); and enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraphs 0022) and exhibited in figure 1. The examiner contends that the art of record more than adequetly meets the limitations of this claim, the examiner refers the applicant back to the previous rejection.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2681

Page 7

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-0104.

S. Smith September 8, 2003 SINH TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER